

College Council Agenda Minutes-DRAFT

November 1, 2011

2:30 pm

Karas Room

College Council Members: Doug Garrison, Carsbia Anderson, Marty Johnson (Interim VP AA), Michael Gilmartin, Steve Ma, Julie Bailey, Gary Bolen, Mark Clements, Ruth Osorio, Stephanie Perkins, Fred Hochstaedter, Adria Gerard, Alan Haffa, Lyndon Schutzler, Loren Walsh, Amelia Hellam, Kali Viker, Suzanne Ammons, ASMPC Pres. Ste(vacant), ASMPC Rep (vacant)

Absent: Dr. Garrison, Carsbia Anderson, Steve Ma, Fred Hochstaedter, Ruth Osorio, ASMPC Rep.(vacant)

Campus Community Comments:

- Introduction made for ASMPC Representative Mr. Stephen Rose.
- Mark Clements reported that information concerning issues surrounding “repeatability” are anticipated to be forthcoming from the state level.
- Amelia Hellam indicated that some staff from the LTC are not confident that Thin Client was thoroughly tested on other than just staff members. Her recollection is that the testing consisted of one Thin Client machine placed at a LTC public service workstation, tested by 3 staff members, but not by students. *Note: The Minutes of October 18 will be corrected to reflect that testing did not include students, nor that feedback (good or bad) was provided. As of this writing (Nov. 11), it is known that Library staff were asked to install all appropriate software and conduct whatever testing of links and applications they deemed necessary and report back.*
- Suzanne reported that Nov 16 is the first day to register for spring 2012. Until now, the MPC webpage did not present an obvious pathway to guide students through the registration/enrollment process. A small group (A&R, Student Services, IT) met to examine ways in which to simplify the readability of the opening web page and allow easier access for students to find their way through the registration process. The main page now carries a banner which reads “New and Improved Registration...” along with “Getting Started at MPC” followed by bullet points for the various steps. No changes to the basic functions of the webpage.

1) **Minutes – Oct 18, 2011:** Amended as noted.

2) **Action Items (see available handouts):**

a) **(Information Item 4d- Faculty Replacements – below is a first reading for Action at next College Council meeting).**

3) **Board Policy Revisions:** <http://mymnpc.mpc.edu/Committees/PACC/default.aspx>.

a) *None at this time.*

4) **Information Items (see available handouts):**

a) **~~Educational Master Plan Process (Marty Johnson):~~ deferred to future meeting- Nov 15.**

b) **Student Success Report:** In early fall, the CCCCO released the draft recommendations from its Student Success Task Force. This task force, formed in response to SB 1143 (passed in 2010) which required the Board of Governors to examine ways to improve student success including:

- Improving student assessment
- Delivering remedial instruction
- Increasing access to academic counseling
- Identifying alternative funding models to incentivize increased completion rates.

The 73 page document includes what many regard to be well intended recommendations aimed towards improving student success, however, this is moving far too quickly with extreme consequences to the California Community College system and the wide variety of students within

the system. The MPC Board is holding a special meeting on Nov 2 to consider and respond with a resolution calling for this effort by the SSTF to slow down and conduct an appropriate and responsible review. The Resolution reads as:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Monterey Peninsula Community College District Governing Board strongly urges the California Community Colleges Board of Governors to withhold its adoption of and defer any implementation of recommendations from the California Community Colleges Task Force on Student Success Report until detailed analyses can be conducted to determine the consequences of each recommendation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Monterey Peninsula Community College District Governing Board recommends that these analyses be conducted with sufficient time and involvement of California community college professionals and representatives of the communities they serve to ensure a comprehensive, inclusive result that is in the best interests of the California community colleges and California residents.

The consensus amongst MPC's College Council was that it supports the Board's resolution, that it is absolutely necessary that further analysis and review be done. Members felt that the language within the resolution should be strengthened and as a result made the following recommendation:

"College Council supports the MPC Board Response (Resolution) and recommends the language be strengthened to reflect the College's dissatisfaction.

The motion was made, seconded and carried.

Lastly, the suggestion was that interested parties should read the 73 page document. There was considerable discussion amongst members that repercussions of the recommendations as outlined in the document would be devastating to different sectors of our student population and the "one size fits all" approach would disenfranchise those for which community colleges have been striving to assist.

c) Classified Replacement Position:

- i. Life Sciences Lab Manager (Marty Johnson):** This position was presented. College Council will be receiving the savings information at the next meeting, prior to this position going to the November board meeting.

- d) Full Time - Faculty Replacements from AAAG (Marty Johnson): (Action Item--presented as Information, to be regarded as a first reading requiring action at the November 15 College Council Meeting).** AAAG reviewed a total of 21 full time faculty positions and was asked to prioritize the top 7 positions. The division chairs were asked to vote on those 7 positions, with the possibility that only 5 could be hired due to budgetary constraints. Presentations were received on the positions with much deliberation. A spreadsheet was created outlining the distribution of votes.

College Council reviewed the document and heard several comments with regards to potential position to be filled including:

- A student representative (ASMP) indicated he found it distressing that we should consider filling a counseling position before filling a teaching position.
- A second student felt that hiring another counseling position was not in the best interest of students and serving the student population.

Additional discussion included whether one person programs should be given weighted priority in having those positions replaced by full time faculty since these programs are the most vulnerable to possible program discontinuance. It was also noted that the counselor to student ratio reflects a distinct shortfall in the ability of students needing assistance to be adequately served.

It was suggested that the Faculty Replacement positions move forward with this as a first reading and that CC returns November 15 with a second reading.

5) Discussion items for *future* meeting:

- a) *Growth Goal for the Ed. Center at Marina (managing growth & cuts)- Dec 6th??
- b) Year End Funds—(pending closing of the books/audit review in November), Dec 6???
- c) Equipment Refreshment needs campus-wide:
- d) Shared Governance and Institutional Planning and Budgeting:
- e) Food & drink in classrooms?

6) Other:

a) Committee Reports-

Next meeting is November 15, 2:30

****to correspond with the topic of Dec 6th---Education Center in Marina –should we consider having that CC meeting at Marina (MA 104)?***